UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,185	10/20/2003	Michael Sweeting	03-6172	2702
63710 DEAN P. ALDI	7590 01/02/200 ERUCCI	EXAMINER		
	GERALD, L.P.	BASIT, ABDUL		
NEW YORK, N	H STREET (6TH FLO NY 10022	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3694	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Astion Communication		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/689,18	5	SWEETING, MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			ABDUL BA	SIT	3694			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIGN SOLD IN IT IN INTERIOR OF THE INTERIOR OF TH	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period wi y will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 66(a). In no ever ill apply and will cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATIO nt, however, may a reply be ti expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cation to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>20 Oc</i>	ctober 2003	1				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2b)⊠ This						
3)		′—			osecution as to th	e merits is		
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
	Claim(s) <u>7-56</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-35; 44-53</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
′=	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>7-14; 36-43; 54-56</u> is/are r	ejecteu.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- 4		:				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election re	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	:: a) <u>□</u> acce	epted or b)[objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the d	drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/2003.			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal D 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 36-43 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 7-14. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 13 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each claim recites "...due to a cheapest to deliver..." It is unclear as to what the Applicant is referring to that is cheap.

Art Unit: 3694

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

5. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nations (US

Pub. No. 2002/0138299).

Regardings claim 7and 36

Nations teaches an apparatus, comprising a processor that:

receives a request to perform a selected one of a buying and a selling operation

associated with a futures contract, the futures contract operable to be purchased or sold

in a financial market environment, futures contract based at least in part on:

a first asset class having a first value associated therewith; and a second asset class

having a second value associated therewith, wherein a price for the futures contract is

determined at least partially by the first and second values; and

provides a confirmation notice associated with a sale or a purchase of the futures

contract. (see paragraphs 12-13; and 62-64)

Regarding claims 8 and 37

Art Unit: 3694

Nations teaches that for the apparatus of Claim 7 or 36, wherein match the processor matches_one or more contract terms provided in the request with an availability associated with the futures contract. (see paragraphs 12-13; and 62-64)

Regarding claims 9 and 38

Nations teaches that for the apparatus of Claim 7 or 36, further comprising a memory element that stores a transaction associated with a purchase or a sale of the futures contract. (see paragraph 89 – use of computers which inherently includes memory)

Regarding claims 10 and 39

Nations teaches that for the apparatus of Claim 7 or 36, the first asset class representing bonds and the second asset class representing at least one of securities; commodities; and currencies wherein the futures module is operable to confirm a sale or a purchase of the futures contract and to provide a confirmation notification to a selected one of the buyer and the seller of the futures contract. (see *paragraphs 5, 12-13, and 62-64*)

Regarding claim 11 and 40

Nations teaches that for the apparatus of Claim 7 or 36, wherein the futures contract is based at least in part on a third asset class having a third value associated therewith, wherein the first, second, and third values are used at least partially to determine the price. (see paragraphs 12-13; and 62-64)

Art Unit: 3694

Regarding claim 12 and 41

Nations teaches that for the apparatus of Claim 7 or 36, wherein the processor

communicates with a price reporting/dissemination element that is operable to provide

financial data to a selected one of the buyer and the seller. (see paragraphs 12-13 –

inherent that financial data is provided to a buyer and/or seller)

Regarding claim 13 and 42

Nations teaches that for the apparatus of Claim 7 or 36, wherein a change in the first

value due to a cheapest to deliver parameter results in a change in the second value.

(see paragraphs 12-13; and 62-64)

Regarding claim 56

Nations teaches that for the apparatus of Claim 36, the asset class representing a

commodity, the first asset subclass representing a first grade of the commodity, and the

second asset subclass representing a second grade of the commodity. (see paragraphs

12-13; and 62-64)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3694

7. Claims 14 and 43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nations in view of Gershon (US Pat. No. 7,315,838)

Regarding claim 14 and 43

Gershon, not Nations, teaches that for the apparatus of Claim 7 or 36, wherein the futures contract includes a pricing methodology that is based on basis points per annum, the pricing methodology being used at least partially to determine the price for the futures contract. (see col. 16, lines 35-40)

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Nations with Gershon. Motivation to modify exists, because basis points pricing is a well known method of pricing in the financial industry and allow for reliable pricing information to be communicated between different parties.

7. Claims 54 and 55 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nations in view of Pine (US Pat. N o. 7,212,997)

Regarding claims 54 and 55

Pine, not Nations, teaches that wherein the processor determines a settlement price of the futures contract based at least in part on a volume-weighted average price of a plurality of trades associated with the futures contract. (see col. 19 generally)

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Nations with Pine. Motivation to modify exists, because volume weighted pricing provides for a reliable indication of price based on volume of contracts traded.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ABDUL BASIT whose telephone number is 571-272-5506. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ab

/James P Trammell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3694